



IAP7 Rec'd PCT/PTO 09 JAN 2006

PCT

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL  
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/550,213 (PCT/US2004/030781)
Filing Date	September 17, 2005
First Named Inventor	Joseph P. KENNEDY, et al.
Art Unit	Unassigned
Examiner Name	Unassigned
Attorney Docket Number	GRA26 020 PCT

**ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form<br><input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached<br><input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply<br><input type="checkbox"/> After Final<br><input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request<br><input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/<br>Incomplete Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts<br>under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers<br><input type="checkbox"/> Petition<br><input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a<br>Provisional Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation<br>Change of Correspondence Address<br><input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer<br><input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund<br><input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____<br><input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC<br><input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board<br>of Appeals and Interferences<br><input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC<br>(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information<br><input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify<br>below):<br>Reply to Written Opinion |
|--|--|--|

**Remarks**

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1679.

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name	DUANE MORRIS LLP		
Signature			
Printed name	MARK C. COMTOIS		
Date	January 9, 2006	Reg. No.	46,285

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name		Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PCT/US04/30781 . 09012006

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. GRA26 020 PCT

**IN THE UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE**

In re the Application of ANDREW CORPORATION

International Application No.: PCT/US2004/030781

Serial Application No.: 10/550,213

Filed: 17 September 2004

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD OF OPERATION FOR NETWORK OVERLAY  
GEOLOCATION SYSTEM WITH REPEATERS

**REPLY TO WRITTEN OPINION**

United States Receiving Office  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Written Opinion from the International Preliminary Examining Authority in the subject application was mailed on 08 November 2005. Consideration of the following remarks is solicited.

PCT/US04/30781.09012006

**REMARKS**

The Written Opinion asserts that Claims 8 and Claims 27-30 are obvious over Baker in view of Kuwahara and Durrant in view of Kuwahara respectively. The Written Opinion's reliance on Kuwahara is improper.


The Written Opinion states that Kuwahara teaches (i) determining a timing advance of the received uplink signal, (ii) comparing the timing advance with a known equivalent propagation distance associated with each of the repeaters and (iii) determining whether the uplink signal is received directly or via one of the repeaters based on the comparison.

Kuwahara does not disclose a teaching for (i), (ii) or (iii). Kuwahara discloses determining the delay time of a downlink signal received at a mobile terminal (see fig 2, para [0052]). In Kuwahara the mobile terminal determines its location from the downlink signal, not the uplink signal as recited in the claims.

Kuwahara discloses comparing the delay with a threshold determined by double the distance between the base stations [para [0052]] and not with an equivalent propagation distance associated with the repeaters as cited in the claims. In fact Kuwahara specifically states "This embodiment... [is] not based on distance difference between a base station and its repeater."(para [0052]).

Baker, Durrant and Kuwahara alone or in combination, fail to disclose each and every feature of the subject claims and therefore cannot form a basis of an obvious type rejection. Additionally, the teachings of Kuwahara cannot be applied to Baker and Durant. The Applicant submits Claims 1-30 are patentable over the cited art.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Mark C. Comtois                      Reg. No. 46,285  
D. Joseph English                  Reg. No. 42,514  
Patrick D. McPherson              Reg. No. 46,255

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
1667 K Street, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 776-7800  
Telecopier: (202) 776-7801

Dated: January 9, 2006